

THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

CHANEL, INC.,)
 a New York Corporation,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 vs.)
)
 VICTORIA REZNIK and GREG REZNIK,)
 individually and jointly, d/b/a)
 LIFESTYLEFORLESS.COM, d/b/a)
 LIFESTYLE FOR LESS; MAURIZIO)
 NENCIONI a/k/a PAULO BELINI, an)
 individual, d/b/a ACCESSORIES & YOU)
 d/b/a FIRST FLIGHT USA; VALORE,)
 LLC, a Florida Limited Liability Company,)
 d/b/a ACCESSORIES & YOU d/b/a)
 FIRST FLIGHT USA, and DOES 1-10,)
)
 Defendants.)
)
)
)

CASE NO.

07-60493

**COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES
AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF**

CIV-MIDDLEBROOKS

**MAGISTRATE JUDGE
JOHNSON**

CLARENCE MADDOX
CLERK U.S. DIST. CT.
S.D. OF FLA.-FT. L.

2007 APR -5 PM 4:31

FILED BY

MA

Plaintiff, CHANEL, INC., a New York corporation ("Chanel") sues Defendants, VICTORIA REZNIK and GREG REZNIK (hereinafter referred to as the "Rezniks"), individually and jointly, d/b/a LIFESTYLEFORLESS.COM d/b/a LIFESTYLE FOR LESS; MAURIZIO NENCIONI a/k/a PAULO BELINI, an individual, d/b/a ACCESSORIES & YOU d/b/a FIRST FLIGHT USA ("Nencioni") and VALORE, LLC, a Florida Limited Liability Company ("Valore") d/b/a ACCESSORIES & YOU d/b/a FIRST FLIGHT USA, and DOES 1-10 (collectively "Defendants") and alleges as follows:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. This action seeks to enforce 15 U.S.C. §§ 1114, 1116, 1121, and 1125(a). Furthermore, this is an action where diversity of citizenship exists and the amount in dispute exceeds \$75,000. Accordingly, this Court has jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1332, and 1338. Venue

is proper in this Court pursuant 28 U.S.C. § 1391 since a significant part of the events giving rise to the Plaintiff's claims occurred in this District and, upon information and belief, Defendants conduct substantial business activities within this District. Defendants are subject to personal jurisdiction in this District since Defendant Valore LLC may be found conducting business in this District at a physical location and the Reznik Defendants may be found conducting business in this District through a fully interactive Internet website, including, but not limited to, LifeStyleForLess.com.

THE PARTIES

2. Chanel is a corporation duly organized under the laws of the State of New York with its principal place of business in the United States located at 9 West 57th Street, New York, New York 10019. Chanel is, in part, engaged in the business of manufacturing and distributing throughout the world, including within this Judicial District, handbags and wallets under the federally registered trademarks CHANEL and CC MONOGRAM (collectively, the "Chanel Marks").

3. The Rezniks are individuals who, upon information and belief, conduct business within Judicial District and at 5347 Wilson Mills Road, #201, Cleveland, Ohio 44143, and reside at 573 Bartow Lane, Richmond Heights, Ohio 44143. The Rezniks use at least the names LifestyleForLess.com and Lifestyle For Less as aliases to operate their business.

4. Upon information and belief, the Rezniks are directly and personally engaging in the sale of counterfeit products as alleged herein.

5. Nencioni is an individual who, upon information and belief, conducts business within this Judicial District at 3600 South State Road Seven, Suite 19, Miramar, Florida 33023 and 1001 North Federal Highway, Suite 341, Hollywood, Florida 33009.

6. Upon information and belief, Nencioni is directly and personally engaging in the sale of counterfeit products as alleged herein.

7. Valore is a limited liability company organized under the laws of the State of Florida, and, upon information and belief, conducts business within this Judicial District at 3600 South State Road Seven, Suite 366, Miramar, Florida 33023.

8. Upon information and belief, Valore is directly engaging in the sale of counterfeit products as alleged herein through, at minimum, supplying the Rezniks with the product at issue in this matter.

9. Defendant Does 1 through 5 are, upon information and belief, individuals who reside and/or conduct business within this Judicial District. Further, Does 1 through 5 are directly and personally contributing to, inducing, and engaging in the sale of counterfeit products as alleged herein as partners, business associates, collaborators, or suppliers to the named Defendants. Plaintiff is presently unaware of the true names of Does 1 through 5. Plaintiff will amend this Complaint upon discovery of the identities of such defendants.

10. Defendants Does 6 through 10 are business entities which, upon information and belief, reside and/or conduct business within this Judicial District. Moreover, Does 6 through 10 are, upon information and belief, directly engaging in the sale of counterfeit products as alleged herein as partners, business associates, collaborators, or suppliers to the named Defendants. Plaintiff is presently unaware of the true names of Does 6 through 10. Plaintiff will amend this Complaint upon discovery of the identities of such defendants.

COMMON FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

11. Chanel is the owner of the following U. S. trademark registrations:

<u>Mark</u>	<u>Reg. No.</u>	<u>Reg. Date</u>
-------------	-----------------	------------------

CC MONOGRAM	1,734,822	November 24, 1992
CC MONOGRAM	1,314,511	January 15, 1985
CC MONOGRAM	3,022,708	December 6, 2005
CC MONOGRAM	3,025,934	December 13, 2005
CHANEL	0,626,035	May 1, 1956
CHANEL	1,347,677	July 9, 1985
CHANEL	1,733,051	November 17, 1992

The Chanel Marks are registered in International Class 18 and are used in connection with the manufacture and distribution of, among other things, handbags, wallets, travel bags, luggage, credit card and business card cases, change purses, tote bags, cosmetic bags, vanity cases sold empty, briefcase type portfolios, and attaché cases. Additionally, Chanel is owner of the trade dress rights associated with its handbag products (the “Chanel Trade Dress”). The Chanel Trade Dress encompasses the overall look, feel, texture, and patterns used by Chanel in connection with the advertisement, marketing, and sale of its genuine goods.

12. The Chanel Marks and Trade Dress have been used in interstate commerce to identify and distinguish Chanel's high quality handbags, wallets, and other products for an extended period of time.

13. The Chanel Marks and Trade Dress have never been assigned or licensed to any of the Defendants in this matter.

14. The Chanel Marks and Trade Dress are symbols of the Plaintiff's quality, reputation, and goodwill and have never been abandoned.

15. Further, Chanel has expended substantial time, money, and other resources developing, advertising, and otherwise promoting the Chanel Marks and Trade Dress. The Chanel Marks qualify as famous marks as that term is used in 15 U.S.C. § 1125(c)(1).

16. Plaintiff has extensively used, advertised, and promoted the Chanel Marks and Trade Dress in the United States in association with the sale of handbags, wallets, and other goods and has carefully monitored and policed the use of the Chanel Marks and Trade Dress.

17. As a result of the Plaintiff's efforts, members of the consuming public readily identify merchandise bearing the Chanel Marks and Trade Dress as being high quality merchandise sponsored and approved by the Chanel.

18. Accordingly, the Chanel Marks and Trade Dress have achieved secondary meaning as an identifier of high quality products, including handbags, wallets, and other goods.

19. Upon information and belief, at all times relevant hereto, the Defendants in this action had full knowledge of Chanel's ownership of the Chanel Marks and Trade Dress, including its exclusive right to use and license the Chanel Marks and Trade Dress and the goodwill associated therewith.

20. Chanel has discovered the Defendants are manufacturing, promoting, and otherwise advertising, distributing, selling, and/or offering for sale counterfeit products, including at least handbags and wallets bearing trademarks which are exact copies of the Chanel Marks and Trade Dress (the "Counterfeit Goods"). Specifically, upon information and belief, the Defendants are using Chanel's Marks and Trade Dress in the same stylized fashion for different quality goods.

21. Upon information and belief, the Defendants' Counterfeit Goods are of a quality substantially different from Chanel's genuine goods. The Defendants, upon information and

belief, are actively manufacturing, promoting, and otherwise advertising, distributing, selling, and/or offering for sale substantial quantities of their Counterfeit Goods with the knowledge that such goods will be mistaken for the genuine high quality products offered for sale by Chanel. The net effect of the Defendants' actions is to confuse consumers who will believe the Defendants' Counterfeit Goods are genuine goods originating from and approved by the Chanel.

22. Upon information and belief, the Defendants import and/or manufacture their Counterfeit Goods and advertise those goods for sale to the consuming public. In advertising these products, the Defendants use Chanel's Marks and Trade Dress. Indeed, the Defendants, upon information and belief, misappropriated Chanel's advertising ideas and business styles with respect to Chanel's genuine products. Upon information and belief, the Defendants misappropriated Chanel's advertising ideas in the form of Chanel's Marks and Trade Dress, in part, in the course of Defendants' own advertising activities. The Defendants' acts are the proximate cause of damage to Chanel.

23. Upon information and belief, the Defendants are conducting their counterfeiting activities at least within this Judicial District and elsewhere throughout the United States. Defendants' infringement and disparagement of Chanel's trademark rights are not simply a misdescription of their goods or a mere failure of the goods to conform to advertised quality or performance. By their activities, the Defendants are defrauding Chanel and the consuming public for their own benefit.

24. The Defendants' use of the Chanel Marks and Trade Dress, including the manufacture, importation, promotion, advertising, distribution, sale, and offering for sale of the Counterfeit Goods, is without Chanel's consent or authorization.

25. Further, the Defendants, upon information and belief, may be engaging in the above-described illegal counterfeiting activities knowingly and intentionally, or with reckless disregard or willful blindness to Chanel's rights, for the purpose of trading on the goodwill and reputation of Chanel. If the Defendants' counterfeiting activities are not preliminarily and permanently enjoined by this Court, Chanel and the consuming public will continue to be damaged.

26. The Defendants' infringing activities described above are likely to cause confusion, deception, and mistake in the minds of consumers, the public, and the trade. Moreover, the Defendants' wrongful conduct is likely to create a false impression and deceive customers, the public, and the trade into believing a connection or association exists between the Chanel's genuine goods and the Defendants' Counterfeit Goods.

27. Chanel has no adequate remedy at law.

28. Chanel is suffering irreparable injury and has suffered substantial damages as a result of the Defendants' counterfeiting and infringing activities.

29. The injuries and damages sustained by Chanel are directly and proximately caused by the Defendants' wrongful importation, advertisement, promotion, and sale of their Counterfeit Goods.

30. Chanel has retained the undersigned counsel to represent it in this matter and is obligated to pay a reasonable fee for such representation.

COUNT I – TRADEMARK COUNTERFEITING AND INFRINGEMENT

31. Plaintiff incorporates the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 30 above.

32. This action is for trademark counterfeiting and infringement against the Defendants based on the Defendants' promotion, advertisement, distribution, sale, and/or offering for sale of the Counterfeit Goods bearing the Chanel Marks.

33. Specifically, the Defendants, upon information and belief, are importing or manufacturing, promoting, and otherwise advertising, selling, offering for sale, and distributing counterfeit and infringing handbags, wallets, and other goods. The Defendants are continuously infringing and inducing others to infringe the Chanel Marks by using them to advertise, promote, and sell counterfeit handbags, wallets, and other goods.

34. Defendants' counterfeiting activities are likely to cause and actually are causing confusion, mistake, and deception among members of the trade and the general consuming public as to the origin and quality of Defendants' Counterfeit Goods bearing the Chanel Marks.

35. The Defendants' unlawful actions have caused and are continuing to cause unquantifiable damages to Chanel.

36. Defendants' above-described illegal actions constitute counterfeiting and infringement of the Chanel Marks in violation of Chanel's rights under § 32 of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1114.

37. Chanel has suffered and will continue to suffer irreparable injury due to the above described activities of the Defendants if the Defendants are not preliminarily and permanently enjoined.

**COUNT II – FALSE DESIGNATION OF ORIGIN
PURSUANT TO § 43(a) OF THE LANHAM ACT**

38. Plaintiff incorporates the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 30 above.

39. Defendants' Counterfeit Goods bearing the Chanel Marks have been widely advertised and distributed throughout the United States.

40. The Defendants' Counterfeit Goods bearing the Chanel Marks are virtually identical in appearance to each of the Plaintiff's respective genuine goods. However, the Counterfeit Goods are inferior in quality. Accordingly, the Defendants' activities are likely to cause confusion in the trade and among the general public as to the origin or sponsorship of the Counterfeit Goods.

41. The Defendants, upon information and belief, have used in connection with their sales of Counterfeit Goods false designations of origin and false descriptions and representations, including words or other symbols and trade dress which tend to describe or represent such goods falsely and have caused such goods to enter into commerce with full knowledge of the falsity of such designations of origin and such descriptions and representations, all to the detriment of the Plaintiff.

42. Specifically, the Defendants, upon information and belief, authorized and engaged in an infringing use of the Chanel Marks and associated Trade Dress in the Defendants' advertisement and promotion of their counterfeit and infringing handbags and wallets. The Defendants, upon information and belief, have misrepresented to members of the consuming public that the Counterfeit Goods being advertised and sold by them are genuine, non-infringing products.

43. The Defendants' above-described actions are in violation of § 43(a) of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a).

44. Plaintiff has sustained injury and damage caused by Defendants' conduct. Absent an entry of an injunction by this Court, the Plaintiff will continue to suffer irreparable injury to its goodwill and business reputation as well as monetary damages.

COUNT III - TRADEMARK DILUTION

45. Plaintiff incorporates the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 30 above.

46. The Chanel Marks are famous trademarks within the meaning of 15 U.S.C. § 1125(c). The Chanel Marks are advertised and used extensively throughout the United States and the remainder of the world, and are highly recognizable by the trade and the consuming public. Further, the Plaintiff actively polices the use of the Chanel Marks by third parties.

47. The Defendants are engaged in a commercial use of the Chanel Marks in commerce.

48. The Defendants' above-described counterfeiting activities are disparaging, damaging and lessening to the distinctiveness of the Chanel Marks through, at least, blurring and tarnishment of said Marks. Indeed, the Defendants are publishing materials in their advertising which disparage Plaintiff's products by, at least, creating an unfair comparison between Plaintiff's genuine goods and the Defendants' Counterfeit Goods.

49. The Defendants' actions described herein may have been engaged in intentionally or with a reckless disregard for or willful blindness to the Plaintiff's rights for the purpose of trading on the Plaintiff's reputation and diluting the Chanel Marks.

50. As a result of the above described diluting and disparaging activities of the Defendants, the Plaintiff has suffered, and will continue to suffer, irreparable injury and substantial damages, and the Defendants have been unjustly enriched.

COUNT IV – COMMON LAW TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT

51. Plaintiff incorporates the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 30 above.

52. This action is for trademark infringement against the Defendants based on their manufacture, promotion, advertisement, distribution, sale, and/or offering for sale of goods bearing

marks which are virtually identical, both visually and phonetically, to the Chanel Marks in violation of Chanel's common law trademark rights.

53. Specifically, the Defendants are importing or manufacturing, promoting, and otherwise advertising, selling, offering for sale, and distributing infringing handbags and wallets.

54. Defendants' infringing activities are likely to cause and actually are causing confusion, mistake, and deception among members of the trade and the general consuming public as to the origin and quality of Defendants' products by their use of the Chanel Marks.

55. As a result of the above described trademark infringement activities of the Defendants, the Plaintiff has suffered, and will continue to suffer, irreparable injury and substantial damages, and the Defendants have been unjustly enriched.

COUNT V - COMMON LAW UNFAIR COMPETITION

56. Plaintiff incorporates the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 30 above.

57. This action is for unlawful appropriation of Chanel's exclusive rights in the Chanel Marks and is against the Defendants based on their unauthorized manufacture, promotion, advertisement, distribution, sale, and/or offering for sale of goods bearing marks which are virtually identical, both visually and phonetically, to the Chanel Marks in violation of Florida's common law of unfair competition.

58. Specifically, the Defendants are unlawfully importing or manufacturing, promoting, and otherwise advertising, selling, offering for sale, and distributing handbags, wallets, and other goods bearing the Chanel Marks.

59. Defendants' wrongful activities of unauthorized use of the Chanel Marks and Trade Dress, in attempting to pass off their products as if they are Chanel products in a manner calculated to deceive members of the trade and the general public, are likely to cause and actually

are causing confusion, mistake, and deception among members of the trade and the general consuming public as to the origin and quality of the Defendants' products by their use of the Chanel Marks and Trade Dress.

60. The natural, probable, and foreseeable consequences of the Defendants' wrongful conduct have been and will continue to be the deprivation of the exclusive rights Chanel has in and to its intellectual property.

61. Defendants' wrongful acts of unauthorized use of the Chanel Marks and Trade Dress have and will continue to cause Chanel substantial injury including loss of customers, dilution of its reputation, dilution of its goodwill, confusion of existing and potential customers, loss of its reputation, and diminution of the value of its intellectual property. The harm these wrongful acts cause to Chanel is both imminent and irreparable, and the amount of damage sustained by Chanel will grow even more difficult to ascertain if these acts continue.

62. As a result of the above described wrongful activities of unfair competition by the Defendants, the Plaintiff has suffered, and will continue to suffer, irreparable injury and substantial damages, and the Defendants have been unjustly enriched.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

63. WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff demands judgment jointly and severally against the Defendants as follows:

a. That the Court enter a preliminary and permanent injunction enjoining Defendants, their agents, representatives, servants, employees, and all those acting in concert or participation therewith, from manufacturing or causing to be manufactured, importing, advertising or promoting, distributing, selling or offering to sell their Counterfeit Goods; from infringing, counterfeiting, or diluting the Chanel Marks; from using the Chanel Marks and Trade

Dress or any mark or trade dress similar thereto, in connection with the sale of any unauthorized goods; from using any logo, trade name, trademark, or trade dress which may be calculated to falsely advertise the services or products of the Defendants as being sponsored by, authorized by, endorsed by, or in any way associated with the Plaintiff; from falsely representing themselves as being connected with the Plaintiff, through sponsorship or association, or engaging in any act which is likely to cause members of the trade and/or the purchasing public to believe any goods or services of the Defendants are in any way endorsed by, approved by, and/or associated with the Plaintiff; from using any reproduction, counterfeit, copy, or colorable imitation of the Chanel Marks in connection with the publicity, promotion, sale, or advertising of any goods sold by the Defendants, including, without limitation, handbags, wallets, and/or any other goods; from affixing, applying, annexing, or using in connection with the sale of any goods a false description or representation, including words or other symbols tending to describe or represent Defendants' goods falsely as being those of the Plaintiff, or in any way endorsed by the Plaintiff, and from offering such goods in commerce; and from otherwise unfairly competing with the Plaintiff.

b. That the Defendants be required to account to and pay Plaintiff for all profits and damages resulting from Defendants' infringing and counterfeiting activities and that the award to Plaintiff be trebled, as provided for under 15 U.S.C. § 1117, or, at Plaintiff's election with respect to Count I, that Chanel be awarded statutory damages from each defendant in the amount of one million (\$1,000,000) dollars per each counterfeit Chanel Mark used and product sold, as provided by 15 U.S.C. § 1117(c)(2) of the Lanham Act.

c. That Plaintiff be awarded punitive damages.

d. That Plaintiff be awarded pre-judgment interest on its judgment.

e. That Plaintiff be awarded at least treble damages as well as its costs and reasonable attorney fees and investigators fees associated with bringing this action.

f. That the Plaintiff be awarded such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

DATED this 5th day of April, 2007.

Respectfully submitted,

By: Stephen M. Gaffigan
Stephen M. Gaffigan, P.A.
Stephen M. Gaffigan
312 S.E. 17th Street, Second Floor
Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33316
Telephone: (954) 767-4819
Facsimile: (954) 767-4821
Email: Stephen@smgpa.net

Attorney for Plaintiff, Chanel, Inc.

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.) **NOTICE: Attorneys MUST Indicate All Re-filed Cases Below.**

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS
 Chanel, Inc., a New York corporation **CIVIL MIDDLEBROOKS**
DEFENDANTS
 Victoria Reznik, et al. **07-60493**

(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff
 (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)
 Stephen M. Gaffigan/STEPHEN M. GAFFIGAN, P.A.
 312 S.E. 17th Street, Second Floor
 Ft. Lauderdale, Florida 33316 (954) 767-4819

DEFENDANTS
 County of Residence of First Listed Defendant
 (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)
 NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT LAND INVOLVED.
 Attorneys (If Known)

MAGISTRATE JUDGE JOHNSON

CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT S.D. OF FLA.-H.L.
 2007 APR -5 PM 3:30

(d) Check County Where Action Arose: MIAMI-DADE MONROE BROWARD PALM BEACH MARTIN ST. LUCIE INDIAN RIVER OKEECHOBEE HIGHLANDS

II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in one Box Only)
 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff
 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)
 2 U.S. Government Defendant
 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)

III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)
 (For Diversity Cases Only)
 Citizen of This State PTF 1 DEF 1
 Citizen of Another State PTF 2 DEF 2
 Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country PTF 3 DEF 3
 Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State PTF 4 DEF 4
 Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State PTF 5 DEF 5
 Foreign Nation PTF 6 DEF 6

0:07cv 60493-DMM-Johnson

IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

CONTRACT	TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
<input type="checkbox"/> 110 Insurance <input type="checkbox"/> 120 Marine <input type="checkbox"/> 130 Miller Act <input type="checkbox"/> 140 Negotiable Instrument <input type="checkbox"/> 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment <input type="checkbox"/> 151 Medicare Act <input type="checkbox"/> 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) <input type="checkbox"/> 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits <input type="checkbox"/> 160 Stockholders' Suits <input type="checkbox"/> 190 Other Contract <input type="checkbox"/> 195 Contract Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 196 Franchise	PERSONAL INJURY <input type="checkbox"/> 310 Airplane <input type="checkbox"/> 315 Airplane Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 320 Assault, Libel & Slander <input type="checkbox"/> 330 Federal Employers' Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 340 Marine <input type="checkbox"/> 345 Marine Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 350 Motor Vehicle <input type="checkbox"/> 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 360 Other Personal Injury PERSONAL INJURY <input type="checkbox"/> 362 Personal Injury - Med. Malpractice <input type="checkbox"/> 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY <input type="checkbox"/> 370 Other Fraud <input type="checkbox"/> 371 Truth in Lending <input type="checkbox"/> 380 Other Personal Property Damage <input type="checkbox"/> 385 Property Damage Product Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 610 Agriculture <input type="checkbox"/> 620 Other Food & Drug <input type="checkbox"/> 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 <input type="checkbox"/> 630 Liquor Laws <input type="checkbox"/> 640 R.R. & Truck <input type="checkbox"/> 650 Airline Regs. <input type="checkbox"/> 660 Occupational Safety/Health <input type="checkbox"/> 690 Other	<input type="checkbox"/> 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 <input type="checkbox"/> 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS <input type="checkbox"/> 820 Copyrights <input type="checkbox"/> 830 Patent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY <input type="checkbox"/> 861 HIA (1395ff) <input type="checkbox"/> 862 Black Lung (923) <input type="checkbox"/> 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) <input type="checkbox"/> 864 SSID Title XVI <input type="checkbox"/> 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS <input type="checkbox"/> 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) <input type="checkbox"/> 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	<input type="checkbox"/> 400 State Reapportionment <input type="checkbox"/> 410 Antitrust <input type="checkbox"/> 430 Banks and Banking <input type="checkbox"/> 450 Commerce <input type="checkbox"/> 460 Deportation <input type="checkbox"/> 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations <input type="checkbox"/> 480 Consumer Credit <input type="checkbox"/> 490 Cable/Sat TV <input type="checkbox"/> 810 Selective Service <input type="checkbox"/> 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange <input type="checkbox"/> 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 <input type="checkbox"/> 890 Other Statutory Actions <input type="checkbox"/> 891 Agricultural Acts <input type="checkbox"/> 892 Economic Stabilization Act <input type="checkbox"/> 893 Environmental Matters <input type="checkbox"/> 894 Energy Allocation Act <input type="checkbox"/> 895 Freedom of Information Act <input type="checkbox"/> 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice <input type="checkbox"/> 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIONS		
<input type="checkbox"/> 210 Land Condemnation <input type="checkbox"/> 220 Foreclosure <input type="checkbox"/> 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment <input type="checkbox"/> 240 Torts to Land <input type="checkbox"/> 245 Tort Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 290 All Other Real Property	<input type="checkbox"/> 441 Voting <input type="checkbox"/> 442 Employment <input type="checkbox"/> 443 Housing/Accommodations <input type="checkbox"/> 444 Welfare <input type="checkbox"/> 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment <input type="checkbox"/> 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other <input type="checkbox"/> 440 Other Civil Rights	<input type="checkbox"/> 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence Habeas Corpus: <input type="checkbox"/> 530 General <input type="checkbox"/> 535 Death Penalty <input type="checkbox"/> 540 Mandamus & Other <input type="checkbox"/> 550 Civil Rights <input type="checkbox"/> 555 Prison Condition		

V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only)
 1 Original Proceeding
 2 Removed from State Court
 3 Re-filed- (see VI below)
 4 Reinstated or Reopened
 5 Transferred from another district (specify)
 6 Multidistrict Litigation
 7 Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment

VI. RELATED/RE-FILED CASE(S).
 (See instructions second page):
 a) Re-filed Case YES NO
 b) Related Cases YES NO
 JUDGE _____ DOCKET NUMBER _____

VII. CAUSE OF ACTION
 Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing and Write a Brief Statement of Cause (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity):
 Trademark infringement pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 1114
 LENGTH OF TRIAL via 5 days estimated (for both sides to try entire case)

VIII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:
 CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23 DEMAND \$ _____
 CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:
 JURY DEMAND: Yes No

ABOVE INFORMATION IS TRUE & CORRECT TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE
 SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD: Stephen M. Gaffigan DATE: 4/5/07
 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY
 AMOUNT: 350.00 RECEIPT #: 521772