CIV-MIDDLEBROOKS

THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

JOHNSON / JUDGE

CHANEL, INC., CASE N a New York corporation, Plaintiff, **COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES** v. AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF STACY ANN ROTHERMEL a/k/a STACY KOLB d/b/a PBPB-ONLINE.NET d/b/a PBPB-ACCESSORIES.COM d/b/a PALMBEACHPOSHBOUTIQUE.COM d/b/a PALM BEACH POSH BOUTIQUE d/b/a PALM BEACH ACCESSORIES and DOES 1-10, Defendants.

Plaintiff, CHANEL, INC., a New York corporation ("Chanel") hereby sues Defendants, STACY ANN ROTHERMEL a/k/a STACY KOLB ("Rothermel") d/b/a PBPB-ONLINE.NET d/b/a PBPB-ACCESSORIES.COM d/b/a PALMBEACHPOSHBOUTIQUE.COM d/b/a PALM BEACH POSH BOUTIQUE d/b/a PALM BEACH ACCESSORIES and DOES 1 through 10 (collectively "Defendants") and alleges as follows:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. This is an action pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §§ 1114, 1116, 1121, and 1125.

Furthermore, this is an action where diversity of citizen exists and the amount in dispute exceeds \$75,000.00. Accordingly, this Court has jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1332, and 1338.

Venue is proper in this Court pursuant 28 U.S.C. § 1391 since Defendants' principal place of doing business is within this District, and Defendants conduct substantial business activities within this District. Furthermore, venue is appropriate since a substantial portion of the acts giving rise to this case occurred within this District.

THE PARTIES

- 2. Chanel is a corporation duly organized under the laws of the State of New York with its principal place of business in the United States located at 9 West 57th Street, New York, New York 10019. Chanel is, in part, engaged in the business of manufacturing and distributing throughout the world, including within this Judicial District, high quality handbags, wallets, and costume jewelry, including earrings, under the federally registered trademarks CHANEL and CC MONOGRAM (collectively the "Chanel Marks").
- 3. Rothermel is an individual, who upon information and belief, conducts business and resides within this Judicial District at 2960 Shaughnessy Drive, West Palm Beach, Florida 33414. Rothermel uses at least the names "PBPB-Online.net," "PBPB-Accessories.com," "PalmBeachPoshBoutique.com," "Palm Beach Posh Boutique," and "Palm Beach Accessories" as aliases to operate her business.
- 4. Upon information and belief, Rothermel is directly and personally engaging in the sale of counterfeit and infringing products within this District as alleged herein.
- 5. Defendants Does 1 through 5 are, upon information and belief, individuals who reside and/or conduct substantial business within this Judicial District. Further, Does 1 through 5 are directly and personally contributing, inducing, and engaging in the sale of counterfeit products as alleged herein as partners or suppliers to the named Defendant. Chanel is presently unaware of the true names of Does 1 through 5. Chanel will amend this Complaint upon discovery of the identities of such fictitious Defendants.
- 6. Defendants Does 6 through 10 are business entities which, upon information and belief, reside and/or conduct business within this Judicial District. Moreover, Does 6 though 10 are, upon information and belief, directly engaging in the sale of counterfeit products as alleged

herein as partners or suppliers to the named Defendant. Chanel is presently unaware of the true names of Does 6 through 10. Chanel will amend this Complaint upon discovery of the identities of such fictitious Defendants.

COMMON FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

Chanel is the owner of the following trademarks protected by the following 7. United States Federal Trademark Registrations:

<u>Mark</u>	Reg. No.	Reg. Date
CHANEL	0,626,035	May 1, 1956
CHANEL	0,902,190	November 10, 1970
CC MONOGRAM	1,314,511	January 15, 1985
CHANEL	1,347,677	July 9, 1985
CC MONOGRAM	1,501,898	August 30, 1988
CHANEL	1,733,051	November 17, 1992
CC MONOGRAM	1,734,822	November 24, 1992

The Chanel Marks are registered in International Classes 14 and 18 and are used in connection with the manufacture and distribution of, among other things, handbags, wallets, and costume jewelry, including earrings.

- 8. The Chanel Marks have been used in interstate commerce to identify and distinguish Chanel's high quality handbags, wallets, costume jewelry, including earrings, and other products for an extended period of time.
- 9. The Chanel Marks have never been assigned or licensed to any of Defendants in this matter.

Page 4 of 14

- The Chanel Marks are symbols of Chanel's quality, reputation, and goodwill and 10. have never been abandoned.
- Further, Chanel has expended substantial time, money and other resources 11. developing, advertising and otherwise promoting the Chanel Marks. The Chanel Marks qualify as famous marks as that term is used in 15 U.S.C. §1125(c)(1).
- Chanel has extensively used, advertised, and promoted the Chanel Marks in the 12. United States in association with the sale of high quality handbags, wallets, costume jewelry, including earrings, and other goods and has carefully monitored and policed the use of the Chanel Marks.
- As a result of Chanel's efforts, members of the consuming public readily identify 13. merchandise bearing the Chanel Marks as being high quality merchandise sponsored and approved by Chanel.
- Accordingly, the Chanel Marks have achieved secondary meaning as identifiers 14. of high quality handbags, wallets, and costume jewelry, including earrings.
- Upon information and belief, at all times relevant hereto, Defendants in this action 15. had full knowledge of Chanel's ownership of the Chanel Marks, including its exclusive right to use and license the Chanel Marks and the goodwill associated therewith.
- Chanel has discovered Defendants are promoting and otherwise advertising, 16. distributing, selling and/or offering for sale counterfeit products, including at least high quality handbags, wallets, and costume jewelry, including earrings, bearing trademarks which are exact copies of the Chanel Marks (the "Counterfeit Goods"). Specifically, upon information and belief, Defendants are using the Chanel Marks in the same stylized fashion, for different and inferior quality goods.

- Page 5 of 14
- Upon information and belief, Defendants' Counterfeit Goods are of a quality 17. substantially different to that of Chanel's genuine goods. Despite the nature of their Counterfeit Goods and the knowledge they are without authority to do so, Defendants, upon information and belief, are actively using, promoting and otherwise advertising, distributing, selling and/or offering for sale substantial quantities of their Counterfeit Goods with the knowledge that such goods will be mistaken for the genuine high quality products offered for sale by Chanel. The net effect of Defendants' actions will be to result in the confusion of consumers who will believe Defendants' Counterfeit Goods are genuine goods originating from and approved by Chanel.
- Upon information and belief, Defendants advertise their Counterfeit Goods for 18. sale to the consuming public. In so advertising these products, Defendants use the Chanel Marks. Indeed, Defendants herein, upon information and belief, misappropriated Chanel's advertising ideas and entire style of doing business with regard to the advertisement and sale of Chanel's genuine products. Upon information and belief, the misappropriation of Chanel's advertising ideas in the form of the Chanel Marks has occurred, in part, in the course of Defendants' advertising activities and has been the proximate cause of damage to Chanel.
- Upon information and belief, Defendants are conducting their counterfeiting and 19. infringing activities at least within this Judicial District and elsewhere throughout the United States. Defendants' infringement and disparagement of Chanel's trademark rights do not simply amount to the wrong description of their goods or the failure of the goods to conform to the advertised quality or performance. As a result, Defendants are defrauding Chanel and the consuming public for Defendants' own benefit.

- Page 6 of 14
- 20. Defendants' use of the Chanel Marks, including the promotion, advertising, distribution, sale and/or offering for sale of their Counterfeit Goods, is without Chanel's consent or authorization.
- 21. Further, Defendants may be, upon information and belief, engaging in the above-described illegal counterfeiting and infringing activities knowingly and intentionally or with reckless disregard or willful blindness to Chanel's rights, for the purpose of trading on the goodwill and reputation of Chanel. If Defendants' intentional counterfeiting and infringing activities are not preliminarily and permanently enjoined by this Court, Chanel and the consuming public will continue to be damaged.
- 22. Defendants' above identified infringing activities are likely to cause confusion, deception and mistake in the minds of consumers, the public and the trade. Moreover, Defendants' wrongful conduct is likely to create a false impression and deceive customers, the public and the trade into believing there is a connection or association between Chanel's genuine goods and Defendants' Counterfeit Goods.
 - 23. Chanel has no adequate remedy at law.
- 24. Chanel is suffering irreparable injury and has suffered substantial damages as a result of Defendants' counterfeiting and infringing activities.
- 25. The injuries and damages sustained by Chanel are directly and proximately caused by Defendants' wrongful advertisement, promotion, distribution, sale and/or offering for sale of their Counterfeit Goods.
- 26. Chanel has retained the undersigned counsel to represent it in this matter and is obligated to pay said counsel a reasonable fee for such representation.

COUNT I - TRADEMARK COUNTERFEITING AND INFRINGEMENT

- Chanel hereby readopts and realleges the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 27. through 26 above.
- 28. This is an action for trademark counterfeiting and infringement against Defendants based on their promotion, advertisement, distribution, sale and/or offering for sale of the Counterfeit Goods bearing the Chanel Marks.
- 29. Specifically, Defendants, upon information and belief, are promoting and otherwise advertising, selling, offering for sale and distributing at least counterfeit and infringing handbags, wallets, and costume jewelry, including earrings, bearing the Chanel Marks. Defendants are continuously infringing and inducing others to infringe the Chanel Marks by using them to advertise, promote and sell counterfeit handbags, wallets, and costume jewelry, including earrings.
- Defendants' counterfeiting and infringing activities are likely to cause and 30. actually are causing confusion, mistake, and deception among members of the trade and the general consuming public as to the origin and quality of Defendants' Counterfeit Goods bearing the Chanel Marks.
- 31. Defendants' unlawful actions have caused and are continuing to cause unquantifiable damages to Chanel.
- 32. Defendants' above-described illegal actions constitute counterfeiting and infringement of the Chanel Marks in violation of Chanel's rights under § 32 of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1114.
- Chanel has suffered and will continue to suffer irreparable injury due to the above 33. described activities of Defendants if Defendants are not preliminarily and permanently enjoined.

COUNT II - FALSE DESIGNATION OF ORIGIN PURSUANT TO § 43(a) OF THE LANHAM ACT

- 34. Chanel hereby readopts and realleges the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 26 above.
- 35. Defendants' Counterfeit Goods bearing the Chanel Marks have been widely advertised and distributed throughout the United States.
- 36. Defendants' Counterfeit Goods bearing the Chanel Marks are virtually identical in appearance to each of Chanel's respective genuine goods. However, the Counterfeit Goods are different and likely inferior in quality. Accordingly, Defendants' activities are likely to cause confusion in the trade and among the general public as to at least the origin or sponsorship of the Counterfeit Goods.
- 37. Defendants, upon information and belief, have used in connection with their sale of Counterfeit Goods, false designations of origin and false descriptions and representations, including words or other symbols and trade dress which tend to falsely describe or represent such goods and have caused such goods to enter into commerce with possible knowledge of the falsity of such designations of origin and such descriptions and representations, all to the detriment of Chanel.
- 38. Specifically, Defendants, upon information and belief, have authorized an infringing use of the Chanel Marks, in Defendants' advertisement and promotion of their counterfeit and infringing handbags, wallets, and costume jewelry, including earrings.

 Defendants, upon information and belief, have misrepresented to members of the consuming public that the Counterfeit Goods being advertised and sold by them are genuine, non-infringing products.

- 39. Defendants' above-described actions are in violation of Section 43(a) of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. §1125(a).
- 40. Chanel has sustained injury and damage caused by Defendants' conduct, and absent an entry of an injunction by this Court, Chanel will continue to suffer irreparable injury to its goodwill and business reputation as well as monetary damages.

COUNT III - TRADEMARK DILUTION

- 41. Chanel readopts and realleges the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 26 above.
- 42. The Chanel Marks are famous marks within the meaning of 15 U.S.C. §1125(c). The Chanel Marks are advertised and used extensively throughout the United States and the remainder of the world and are highly recognizable by the trade and the consuming public. Further, Chanel actively polices the use of the Chanel Marks by third parties.
 - 43. Defendants are engaged in a commercial use of the Chanel Marks in commerce.
- 44. Defendants' above-described counterfeiting activities are disparaging, damaging, and lessening the distinctiveness of the Chanel Marks through, at least, blurring and tarnishment of said Marks. Indeed, Defendants are publishing materials in their advertising which disparage Chanel's products by, at least, creating an unfair comparison between Chanel's genuine goods and Defendants' Counterfeit Goods.
- 45. Defendants' actions described herein may have been engaged in intentionally or with a reckless disregard for or willful blindness to Chanel's rights for the purpose of trading on Chanel's reputation and diluting the Chanel Marks.

Page 10 of 14

As a result of the above described diluting and disparaging activities of 46. Defendants, Chanel has suffered, and will continue to suffer, irreparable injury, and substantial damages, and Defendants have been unjustly enriched.

COUNT IV - COMMON LAW TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT

- Chanel hereby readopts and realleges the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 47. through 26 above.
- This is an action for common law trademark infringement against Defendants 48. based on their promotion, advertisement, sale and/or offering for sale of goods bearing marks which are virtually identical, both visually and phonetically, to the Chanel Marks in violation of Chanel's common law trademark rights.
- Specifically, Defendants, upon information and belief, are promoting and 49. otherwise advertising, selling, offering for sale, and distributing infringing handbags, wallets, and costume jewelry, including earrings, bearing marks substantially similar to and indistinguishable from the Chanel Marks.
- Defendants infringing activities are likely to cause and actually are causing 50. confusion, mistake, and deception among members of the trade and the general consuming public as to the origin and quality of Defendants' products by their use of the Chanel Marks.
- As a result of the above described trademark infringement activities of 51. Defendants, Chanel has suffered, and will continue to suffer, irreparable injury and substantial damages, and Defendants have been unjustly enriched.

COUNT V - COMMON LAW UNFAIR COMPETITION

Chanel hereby readopts and realleges the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 52. through 26 above.

- This is an action for common law unfair competition against Defendants based on 53. their unauthorized promotion, advertisement, distribution, sale and/or offering for sale of goods bearing marks which are virtually identical, both visually and phonetically, to the Chanel Marks in violation of Florida's common law of unfair competition.
- Specifically, Defendants are unlawfully promoting and otherwise advertising, 54. selling, offering for sale and distributing infringing and counterfeit handbags, wallets, and costume jewelry, including earrings, bearing the Chanel Marks.
- Defendants' wrongful acts of unauthorized use of the Chanel Marks, in attempting 55. to pass off their products as if they are Chanel products in a manner calculated to deceive members of the trade and the general public, are likely to cause and are actually causing confusion, mistake, and deception among members of the trade and general consuming public as to the origin and quality of Defendants' products by their use of the Chanel Marks.
- The natural, probable, and foreseeable consequences of Defendants' wrongful 56. conduct has been and will continue to be the deprivation of the exclusive rights Chanel has in and to its intellectual property.
- Defendants' wrongful acts of unauthorized use of the Chanel Marks have and will 57. continue to cause Chanel substantial injury including loss of customers, dilution of its reputation, dilution of its goodwill, confusion of existing and potential customers, loss of its reputation, and diminution of the value of its intellectual property. The harm these wrongful acts cause to Chanel is both imminent and irreparable, and the amount of damage sustained by Chanel will grow even more difficult to ascertain if these acts continue.

Page 12 of 14

As a result of the above described wrongful activities of unfair competition by 58. Defendants, Chanel has suffered, and will continue to suffer, irreparable injury and substantial damages, and Defendants have been unjustly enriched.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Chanel demands judgment jointly and severally against Defendants as follows:

That the Court enter a preliminary and permanent injunction enjoining a. Defendants, their agents, representatives, servants, employees, and all those acting in concert or participation therewith, from advertising or promoting, distributing, selling or offering to sell their Counterfeit Goods; from infringing, counterfeiting, or diluting the Chanel Marks; from using the Chanel Marks, or any mark or trade dress similar thereto, in connection with the sale of any unauthorized goods; from using any logo, trade name or trademark, or trade dress which may be calculated to falsely advertise the services or products of Defendants as being sponsored by, authorized by, endorsed by, or in any way associated with Chanel; from falsely representing themselves as being connected with Chanel, through sponsorship or association, or engaging in any act which is likely to falsely cause members of the trade and/or of the purchasing public to believe any goods or services of Defendants, or are in any way endorsed by, approved by, and/or associated with Chanel; from using any reproduction, counterfeit, copy, or colorable imitation of the Chanel Marks in connection with the publicity, promotion, sale, or advertising of any goods sold by Defendants, including, without limitation, handbags, wallets, costume jewelry, including earrings, and/or any other goods; from affixing, applying, annexing or using in connection with the sale of any goods, a false description or representation, including words or other symbols tending to falsely describe or represent Defendants' goods as being those of

Page 13 of 14

Chanel, or in any way endorsed by Chanel and from offering such goods in commerce; and from otherwise unfairly competing with Chanel.

- That Defendants be required to account to and pay Chanel for all profits b. and damages resulting from Defendants' infringing and counterfeiting activities and that the award to Chanel be trebled, as provided for under 15 U.S.C. §1117, or, at Chanel election with respect to Count I, that Chanel be awarded statutory damages from each Defendant in the amount of one million (\$1,000,000.00) dollars per each counterfeit Chanel Mark used and product sold, as provided by 15 U.S.C. §1117(c)(2) of the Lanham Act.
 - That Chanel be awarded punitive damages. c.

Document 1

- That Chanel be awarded pre-judgment interest on its judgment. d.
- That Chanel be awarded at least treble damages as well as its costs and e. reasonable attorneys' fees and investigators' fees associated with bringing this action.
- That Chanel be awarded such other and further relief as the Court may f. deem just and proper.

DATED this 15 day of June, 2007.

Respectfully submitted,

STEPHEN M. GAFFIGAN

312 S.E. 17th Street, Second Floor

Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33316

Telephone (954) 767-4819

Facsimile (954) 767-4821

E-mail: Stephen@smgpa.net

Case 9:07-cv-80583-DMM

Document 1 Entered on FLSD Docket 07/03/2007 Page 14 of 14 CIVIL COVER SHERT V - I DD LEBROOKS

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM)

NOTICE: Attorneys MUST Indicate All De Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating

the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.) I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			NOTICE: Attorneys MUST Indicate All Re-filed Cases Below.		
Chanel, Inc., a New York corporation		DEFENDANTS			
-,, a von von polation			Stacy Ann Rothermel a/k/a Stacy Kolb		
(b) County of Residence	e of First Listed Plaintiff Ne	w York County	100 SEounty of Residence	of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES	Palm Beach County
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name, A	Address, and Telephone Number)	MAGISTRATE TOHNSO		(III CIBIT DIMITINI CABLS	E THE SOCATION OF THE TRACT
Stephen M. Gaffigan/ST	EPHEN M. GAFFIGAN,	, P:A.	LAND	NVOLVED.	
312 SE 17th St., 2nd Floor, Ft. Lauderdale, FL 33316 3547457-48			19 Attorneys (If Known)		
		U (-	8050	Q = 200	
(d) Check County Where Action	on Arose:	J MONROE D BROWA	ARD PALM BEACH OMA	RTIN O ST. LUCIE O INDIA	AN RIVER O OKEECHOBEE
II. BASIS OF JURISI	OICTION (Place an "X" in C	One Box Only)	I. CITIZENSHIP OF I		HIGHLANDS (Place) "X" in One Box for Plaintiff
□ 1 U.S. Government	✓3 Federal Question		(For Diversity Cases Only)	TF DEF	and One Box for Defendant)
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government Not	a Party)	Citizen of This State	l D I Incorporated or Pr of Business In Thi	
Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of	f Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2	
4411 8	0583-DMN	1- Whosh	Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3	□ 6 □ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUI'	(Place an "X" in One Box Only)		1 oreign country		
110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	S PERSONAL INJURY	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act	🗇 310 Airplane	362 Personal Injury -	610 Agriculture 620 Other Food & Drug	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 ☐ 423 Withdrawal	☐ 400 State Reapportionment ☐ 410 Antitrust
☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	315 Airplane Product Liability	Med. Malpractice 365 Personal Injury -	625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881	28 USC 157	☐ 430 Banks and Banking ☐ 450 Commerce
150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment	320 Assault, Libel & Slander	Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal	☐ 630 Liquor Laws ☐ 640 R.R. & Truck	PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights	☐ 460 Deportation
☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	330 Federal Employers' Liability	Injury Product	650 Airline Regs.	☐ 830 Patent	☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations
Student Loans	☐ 340 Marine P	Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY	☐ 660 Occupational Safety/Health	3 840 Trademark	☐ 480 Consumer Credit☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV
(Excl. Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 345 Marine Product ☐ Liability ☐	370 Other Fraud	☐ 690 Other		☐ 810 Selective Service
of Veteran's Benefits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐		LABOR ☐ 710 Fair Labor Standards	SOCIAL SECURITY 861 HIA (1395ff)	☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability ☐	Property Damage 385 Property Damage	Act	☐ 862 Black Lung (923)	☐ 875 Customer Challenge
195 Contract Product Liability	☐ 360 Other Personal	Product Liability	☐ 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations ☐ 730 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting	☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) ☐ 864 SSID Title XVI	12 USC 3410 890 Other Statutory Actions
1 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY	Injury CIVIL RIGHTS P	PRISONER PETITIONS	& Disclosure Act 740 Railway Labor Act	☐ 865 RSI (405(g))	☐ 891 Agricultural Acts
210 Land Condemnation	☐ 441 Voting ☐	510 Motions to Vacate	790 Other Labor Litigation	FEDERAL TAX SUITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	□ 892 Economic Stabilization Act□ 893 Environmental Matters
☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	☐ 442 Employment ☐ 443 Housing/	Sentence Habeas Corpus:	791 Empl. Ret. Inc.	or Defendant)	☐ 894 Energy Allocation Act
☐ 240 Torts to Land	Accommodations	530 General	Security Act	☐ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	☐ 895 Freedom of Information Act
245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	☐ 444 Welfare ☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - ☐	535 Death Penalty 540 Mandamus & Other			 900Appeal of Fee Determination
	Employment G 446 Amon on/Div 1376	550 Civil Rights	•		Under Equal Access to Justice
	Other	555 Prison Condition			☐ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
	☐ 440 Cther Civil Rights				
🗷 l Original 🗂 2 Re		iled-		erred from r district	/ Magistrate
A DELAMEDON ST	a)	Re-filed Case TYE	_ `_ ` .	ed Cases	Judgment
VI. RELATED/RE-FIL CASE(S).	(See histractions	DGE	.,	DOCKET NUMBER	
	Cite the U.S. Civil Statute	under which you are fil	ing and Write a Brief Statemen		ictional statutes unless
VII. CAUSE OF	diversity):			•	
ACTION	15 11 0 0 1114 1114	C 1101 11105/	\		
	LENGTH OF TRIAL via		a) - Trademark Infringen for both sides to try entire case		B
VIII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS A UNDER F.R.C.P. 23		DEMAND \$		demanded in complaint: Tyes Vo
BOVE INFORMATION IS		SIGNATURE OF ATTOR	PAIEV OF DECORD		I D Les A MO
THE BEST OF MY KNOWLI		Steple M.	Faller	06/ZS	107
		/		CE USE ONLY RECEIPT #	IED

340401