THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COUR CIV - LENGTH FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

CHANEL, INC.,
a New York corporation

Plaintiff,

v.

DAN SORO d/b/a ITALIAN ICE,
and DOES 1-10,

Defendants.

Plaintiff, CHANEL, INC., a New York corporation ("Chanel") hereby sues Defendants, DAN SORO d/b/a ITALIAN ICE ("Soro") and DOES 1-10 (collectively, "Defendants"), and alleges as follows:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. This is an action seeking to enforce 15 U.S.C. §§ 1114, 1116, 1121 and 1125(a). Furthermore, this is an action where diversity of citizenship exists and the amount in dispute exceeds \$75,000.00. Accordingly, this Court has jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1332, and 1338. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant 28 U.S.C. § 1391 since the Defendants' principal place of business is within this Judicial District and Defendants conduct substantial business activities within this Judicial District. Furthermore, venue is appropriate since a substantial portion of the acts giving rise to this case occurred within this Judicial District.

THE PARTIES

2. Chanel is a corporation duly organized under the laws of the State of New York with its principal place of business in the United States located at Nine West 57th Street, New York, New York 10019. Chanel is, in part, engaged in the business of manufacturing and

distributing throughout the world, including within this Judicial District, costume jewelry, including earrings, necklaces, and rings under the federally registered trademarks CHANEL and CC MONOGRAM (collectively the "Chanel Marks").

- 3. Soro is an individual, who upon information and belief, conducts business within this Judicial District at 10564 Arcole Court, Wellington, Florida 33467. Soro uses the name "Italian Ice" as an alias to operate his business.
- 4. Upon information and belief, Soro is directly and personally engaging in the sale of counterfeit and infringing goods within this Judicial District as alleged herein.
- 5. Does 1-5 are, upon information and belief, individuals who reside and/or conduct business within this Judicial District. Upon information and belief, Does 1-5 are directly and personally contributing, inducing and engaging in the sale of counterfeit goods as alleged herein as partners or suppliers to the named Defendant. Plaintiff is presently unaware of the identities of Does 1-5. Plaintiff will amend this Complaint upon discovery of the identities of such fictitious defendants.
- 6. Does 6-10 are business entities which, upon information and belief, reside and/or conduct business within this Judicial District. Upon further information and belief, Does 6-10 are directly engaging in the sale of counterfeit goods as alleged herein as partners or suppliers to the named Defendant. Plaintiff is presently unaware of the identities of Does 6-10. Plaintiff will amend this Complaint upon discovery of the identities of such fictitious defendants.

COMMON FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

7. Chanel is the owner of the following United States Federal Trademark Registration:

Mark	Reg. No.	Reg. Date
CHANEL	0,612,169	September 13, 1955
CHANEL	0,902,190	November 10, 1970
CC MONOGRAM	1,501,898	August 30, 1988

which are registered in International Classes 14 and 28, and is used in connection with the manufacture and distribution of, among other things, costume jewelry, including earrings, necklaces, and rings.

- 8. The Chanel Marks have been used in interstate commerce to identify and distinguish its high quality costume jewelry, including earrings, necklaces, and rings and other goods for an extended period of time.
- 9. The Chanel Marks have never been assigned or licensed to any of the Defendants in this matter.
- 10. The Chanel Marks are a symbol of Chanel's quality, reputation, and goodwill and have never been abandoned.
- 11. Further, Chanel has expended substantial time, money, and other resources developing, advertising, and otherwise promoting the Chanel Marks in commerce. The Chanel Marks qualify as famous marks as that term is used in 15 U.S.C. § 1125(c)(1).
- 12. Chanel has extensively used, advertised, and promoted the Chanel Marks in the United States in association with the sale of costume jewelry, including earrings, necklaces, and rings and other goods, and has carefully monitored and policed the use of the Chanel Marks by third parties.

- 13. As a result of the Chanel's efforts and the inherent distinctiveness of the Chanel Marks, members of the consuming public readily identify merchandise bearing the Chanel Marks as being high quality merchandise sponsored and approved by Chanel.
- 14. Accordingly, the Chanel Marks have achieved secondary meaning as an identifier of high quality costume jewelry items, including earrings, necklaces, and rings and other goods.
- Upon information and belief, at all times relevant hereto, the Defendants in this 15. action had full knowledge of Chanel's ownership of the Chanel Marks, including its exclusive right to use and license the Chanel Marks and the goodwill associated therewith.
- 16. Chanel has discovered the Defendants are promoting, and otherwise advertising, distributing, selling, and/or offering for sale counterfeit costume jewelry items, including at least earrings, necklaces, and rings, bearing trademarks which are exact copies of the Chanel Marks (the "Counterfeit Goods"). Specifically, upon information and belief, the Defendants are using the Chanel Marks in the same stylized fashion for different quality costume jewelry goods.
- 17. Upon information and belief, the Defendants' Counterfeit Goods are of a quality substantially different from Chanel's genuine goods. Despite the nature of their Counterfeit Goods and the knowledge that they are without authority to do so, the Defendants, upon information and belief, are actively manufacturing or importing, promoting and otherwise advertising, distributing, selling and offering for sale substantial quantities of their Counterfeit Goods with the knowledge that such goods will be mistaken for the genuine high quality goods offered for sale by Chanel. The net effect of the Defendants' actions will be the confusion of consumers who will believe the Defendants' Counterfeit Goods are genuine goods originating from and approved by Chanel.

- 18. Upon information and belief, the Defendants import and/or manufacture their Counterfeit Goods and advertise their goods for sale to the consuming public. In so advertising these goods, the Defendants use the Chanel Marks. Indeed, the Defendants herein, upon information and belief, misappropriated Chanel's advertising ideas and entire style of doing business with regard to the advertisement and sale of Chanel's genuine costume jewelry items. Upon information and belief, the misappropriation of Chanel's advertising ideas in the form of the Chanel Marks and associated trade dress occurred, in part, in the course of Defendants' advertising activities and was the proximate cause of damage to Chanel.
- 19. Upon information and belief, the Defendants are conducting their counterfeiting activities at least within this Judicial District and elsewhere throughout the United States. As a result, the Defendants are defrauding Chanel and the consuming public for the Defendants' own benefit. Defendants' infringement and disparagement of Chanel's trademark rights do not simply amount to the wrong description of their goods or the failure of the goods to conform to the advertised quality or performance.
- 20. The Defendants' use of the Chanel Marks, including the manufacture or importation, promotion and advertising, distribution, sale, and offering for sale of their Counterfeit Goods, is without Chanel's consent or authorization.
- 21. Further, the Defendants may be, upon information and belief, engaging in the above-described illegal counterfeiting activities knowingly and intentionally or with reckless disregard for or willful blindness to Chanel's rights for the purpose of trading on the goodwill and reputation of Chanel. If the Defendants' counterfeiting activities are not preliminarily and permanently enjoined by this Court, Chanel and the consuming public will continue to be damaged.

- 22. The Defendants' above identified infringing activities are likely to cause confusion, deception, and mistake in the minds of consumers, the public, and the trade. Moreover, the Defendants' wrongful conduct is likely to create a false impression and deceive customers, the public, and the trade into believing there is a connection or association between Chanel's genuine goods and the Defendants' Counterfeit Goods.
 - 23. Chanel has no adequate remedy at law.
- 24. Chanel is suffering irreparable injury and has suffered substantial damages as a result of the Defendants' counterfeiting and infringing activities.
- 25. The injuries and damages sustained by Chanel have been directly and proximately caused by the Defendants' wrongful importation or manufacture, advertisement, promotion, and sale of their Counterfeit Goods.
- 26. Chanel has retained the undersigned counsel to represent it in this matter and is obligated to pay said counsel a reasonable fee for such representation.

COUNT I TRADEMARK COUNTERFEITING AND INFRINGEMENT

- 27. Chanel hereby readopts and realleges the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 26 above.
- 28. This is an action for trademark counterfeiting and infringement against the Defendants based on the Defendants' promotion, advertisement, distribution, sale and/or offering for sale of the Counterfeit Goods bearing the Chanel Marks.
- Specifically, the Defendants, upon information and belief, are promoting and 29. otherwise advertising, selling, offering for sale, and distributing at least counterfeit costume jewelry, including earrings, necklaces, and rings, bearing the Chanel Marks. The Defendants are continuously infringing and inducing others to infringe the Chanel Marks by using the mark to

Case 0:08-cv-60164-JAL

advertise, promote, and sell at least counterfeit costume jewelry, including earrings, necklaces, and rings.

- 30. Defendants' counterfeiting activities are likely to cause and actually are causing confusion, mistake, and deception among members of the trade and the general consuming public as to the origin and quality of Defendants' Counterfeit Goods bearing the Chanel Marks.
- 31. Defendants' unlawful actions have caused and are continuing to cause unquantifiable damages to Chanel.
- 32. Defendants' above-described illegal actions constitute counterfeiting and infringement of the Chanel Marks in violation of Chanel's rights under § 32 of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1114.
- 33. Chanel has suffered and will continue to suffer irreparable injury due to the above described activities of the Defendants if the Defendants are not preliminarily and permanently enjoined.

COUNT II **FALSE DESIGNATION OF ORIGIN** PURSUANT TO § 43(a) OF THE LANHAM ACT

- Chanel hereby readopts and realleges the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 34. through 26 above.
- 35. Defendants' Counterfeit Goods bearing the Chanel Marks have been widely advertised and distributed throughout the United States.
- 36. Defendants' Counterfeit Goods bearing the Chanel Marks are virtually identical in appearance to Chanel's genuine goods; however, the Counterfeit Goods are substantially different in quality. Accordingly, the Defendants' activities are likely to cause confusion in the trade and among the general public as to the origin or sponsorship of the Counterfeit Goods.

Case 0:08-cv-60164-JAL

- Defendants, upon information and belief, have used in connection with their sale 37. of Counterfeit Goods, false designations of origins and false descriptions and representations, including words or other symbols and a trade dress which tend to falsely describe or represent such goods. Further Defendants have caused their goods to enter into commerce with such false designations of origin and such false descriptions and representations, all to the detriment of the Chanel.
- 38. Specifically, the Defendants, upon information and belief, authorized an infringing use of the Chanel Marks and a substantially similar trade dress in the Defendants' advertisement and promotion of their counterfeit and infringing costume jewelry, including earrings, necklaces, and rings and other goods. The Defendants, upon information and belief, have misrepresented to members of the consuming public that the Counterfeit Goods being advertised and sold by them are genuine, non-infringing goods.
- 39. The Defendants' above-described actions are in violation of Section 43(a) of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a).
- 40. Chanel has sustained injury and damage caused by the Defendants' conduct, and absent an entry of an injunction by this Court, Chanel will continue to suffer irreparable injury to its goodwill and business reputation as well as monetary damages.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

- WHEREFORE, Chanel's demand judgment jointly and severally against the 41. Defendants as follows:
- The Court enter a preliminary and permanent injunction enjoining the a. Defendants, their agents, representatives, servants, employees, and all those acting in concert or participation therewith, from manufacturing or causing to be manufactured, importing,

Case 0:08-cv-60164-JAL

advertising or promoting, distributing, selling or offering to sell their Counterfeit Goods; from infringing, counterfeiting, or diluting the Chanel Marks; from using the Chanel Marks, or any mark or trade dress similar thereto, in connection with the sale of any unauthorized goods; from using any logo, trade name or trademark or trade dress which may be calculated to falsely advertise the services or products of the Defendants as being sponsored by, authorized by, endorsed by, or in any way associated with Chanel; from falsely representing themselves as being connected with Chanel, through sponsorship or association, or engaging in any act which is likely to falsely cause members of the trade and/or of the purchasing public to believe any goods or services of the Defendants, or in any way endorsed by, approved by, and/or associated with Chanel; from using any reproduction, counterfeit, copy, or colorable imitation of the Chanel Marks in connection with the publicity, promotion, sale, or advertising of any goods sold by the Defendants, including, without limitation, costume jewelry, including earrings, necklaces. and rings, and other goods; from affixing, applying, annexing or using in connection with the sale of any goods, a false description or representation, including words or other symbols tending to falsely describe or represent Defendants' goods as being those of Chanel, or in any way endorsed by Chanel, and from offering such goods in commerce; and from otherwise unfairly competing with Chanel.

b. The Defendants be required to account to and pay Chanel for all profits and damages resulting from Defendants' trademark infringing and counterfeiting activities and that the award to Chanel be trebled, as provided for under 15 U.S.C. §1117, or, at Chanel's election with respect to Count I, that Chanel be awarded statutory damages from each Defendants in the amount of one million (\$1,000,000.00) dollars per each counterfeit Chanel Mark used and product sold, as provided by 15 U.S.C. §1117(c)(2) of the Lanham Act.

- Document 1
- c. Chanel be awarded punitive damages.
- d. Chanel be awarded pre-judgment interest on its respective judgment.
- e. Chanel be awarded their costs and reasonable attorneys' fees and investigators' fees associated with bringing this action.
- f. Chanel be awarded such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

DATED this day of February, 2008.

Respectfully submitted,

STEPHEN M. GAFFIGAN, P.A. 312 S.E. 17th Street, Second Floor Ft. Lauderdale, Florida 33316 Telephone: (954) 767-4819 Facsimile: (954) 767-4821

Fla. Bar No. 025844 Stephen@smgpa.net

Counsel for Chanel, Inc.

Page 11 of 11

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the ourpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

NOTICE: Attorneys MUST Indicate All Pacified Cases Polynomia.

the civil docket sneet. (SEE I	NSTRUCTIONS ON THE REV	ERSE OF THE FORM.)	NOTICE: Attorneys MU	JST Indicate All Re-filed (Cases Below.	
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS	DEFENDANTS		
Chanel, Inc., a New Yo	rk corporation	LENARD	Dan Saro d/b/a I	talian Ice, et al.		
(b) County of Residence	of First Listed Fraintiff XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA		County of Residence	of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES	ONI V)	
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name, A	ddress, and Telephone Number)	1102	NOTE: IN LAN		ONLY) - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	
Stephen M. Gaffigan, P., 312 SE 17 Street, Second	Α.		LAND	INVOLVED.		
Ft. Lauderdale, Florida 3		1819 08	- 601 (34 PE		
(d) Check County Where Action	on Arose:	□ MONROE A BROW	ARD TPALM BEACH D MA	ARTIN O ST. LUCIE ORNOW	AN RIVER DESCHOBEE HIGHLANDS	
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X"	in One Box Only)	II. CITIZENSHIP OF (For Diversity Cases Only)	PRINCIPAL PARTIES	Place ap " in One Box for Plaintiff	
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Not a Party)		PTF DEF 1 0 1 Incorporated or Prof Business In Thi		
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity(Indicate Citizenshi)	p of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2 🗇 2 Incorporated and I of Business In .		
0108CN 60	164-Lenas	rd-Tarres	Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 🗖 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT CONTRACT		nly)	EOD ESTEVINE DEN A LEIV			
☐ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY		FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
□ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	□ 310 Airplane □ 315 Airplane Product Liability □ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander □ 330 Federal Employers' Liability □ 340 Marine □ 345 Marine Product Liability □ 350 Motor Vehicle □ 355 Motor Vehicle □ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability □ 360 Other Personal Injury □ CIVIL RIGHTS □ 441 Voting □ 442 Employment □ 443 Housing/ Accommodations □ 444 Welfare □ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment □ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other □ 440 Other Civil Rights	PERSONAL INJURY 362 Personal Injury - Med. Malpractice 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERT 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIONS 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence Habeas Corpus: 530 General 535 Death Penalty 540 Mandamus & Othe 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition	☐ 690 Other LABOR ☐ 710 Fair Labor Standards Act ☐ 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations ☐ 730 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting & Disclosure Act ☐ 740 Railway Labor Act ☐ 790 Other Labor Litigation ☐ 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	□ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 430 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 810 Selective Service □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange □ 875 Customer Challenge □ 12 USC 3410 □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 894 Energy Allocation Act □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
27 1 Original ☐ 2 Re	emoved from 📋 3 I	Re-filed-	Reinstated of U 5 anoth (speci		Y. 1 C .	
VI. RELATED/RE-FII CASE(S).	(See instructions second page):	a) Re-filed Case TY	ES 💋 NO b) Rela	DOCKET NUMBER		
VII. CAUSE OF ACTION	diversity):	gement pursuant to 1		nt of Cause (Do not cite jurisd e)	ictional statutes unless	
VIII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS I UNDER F.R.C.P. 2	S A CLASS ACTION	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only i JURY DEMAND:	f demanded in complaint:	
ABOVE INFORMATION IS THE BEST OF MY KNOWL		signature of atto	DRNEY OF RECORD	A PATE	8	
		7		FICE USE ONLY		