FILED by VT D.C.

August 25, 2008

STEVEN M. LARIMORE CLERK U.S. DIST. CT.
S.D. OF FLA. MIAMI

THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

Plaintiff, v. DAVID TRADING CO., INC., a Florida corporation d/b/a DAVID TRADING; LING RONG LAI WEN a/k/a WEN, LING RONG LAI a/k/a LAI WEN a/k/a CHRISTINE LAI a/k/a CHRSTINA LAI, and SHIH-CHENG WEN a/k/a CHENG WEN, SHIH, individually and jointly, d/b/a DAVID TRADING and DOES 1-10, Defendants. COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUCTIVE RELIEF 08-CV-22365-Cooke-Bandstra	CHANEL, INC., a New York corporation,) Case No.
DAVID TRADING CO., INC., a Florida corporation d/b/a DAVID TRADING; LING RONG LAI WEN a/k/a WEN, LING RONG LAI a/k/a LAI WEN a/k/a CHRISTINE LAI a/k/a CHRSTINA LAI, and SHIH-CHENG WEN a/k/a CHENG WEN, SHIH, individually and jointly, d/b/a DAVID TRADING and DOES 1- 10,	Plaintiff,	,
corporation d/b/a DAVID TRADING; LING RONG LAI WEN a/k/a WEN, LING RONG LAI a/k/a LAI WEN a/k/a CHRISTINE LAI a/k/a CHRSTINA LAI, and SHIH-CHENG WEN a/k/a CHENG WEN, SHIH, individually and jointly, d/b/a DAVID TRADING and DOES 1- 10,	v.)) OR CV 22265 Cooks Bondotro
LING RONG LAI WEN a/k/a WEN, LING RONG LAI a/k/a LAI WEN a/k/a CHRISTINE LAI a/k/a CHRSTINA LAI, and SHIH-CHENG WEN a/k/a CHENG WEN, SHIH, individually and jointly, d/b/a DAVID TRADING and DOES 1- 10,	DAVID TRADING CO., INC., a Florida	06-CV-22365-COOKE-BandStra
LING RONG LAI a/k/a LAI WEN a/k/a CHRISTINE LAI a/k/a CHRSTINA LAI, and SHIH-CHENG WEN a/k/a CHENG WEN, SHIH, individually and jointly, d/b/a DAVID TRADING and DOES 1- 10,	corporation d/b/a DAVID TRADING;)
CHRISTINE LAI a/k/a CHRSTINA LAI, and SHIH-CHENG WEN a/k/a CHENG WEN, SHIH, individually and jointly, d/b/a DAVID TRADING and DOES 1- 10,	LING RONG LAI WEN a/k/a WEN,)
and SHIH-CHENG WEN a/k/a CHENG WEN, SHIH, individually and jointly, d/b/a DAVID TRADING and DOES 1- 10,	LING RONG LAI a/k/a LAI WEN a/k/a)
WEN, SHIH, individually and jointly, d/b/a DAVID TRADING and DOES 1- 10,	CHRISTINE LAI a/k/a CHRSTINA LAI,)
d/b/a DAVID TRADING and DOES 1- 10,	· · · · · · · · ·)
10,	•)
		j
Defendants.	10,)
	Defendants.))

Plaintiff, CHANEL, INC., a New York corporation ("Chanel") hereby sues Defendants, DAVID TRADING CO., INC., a Florida corporation, d/b/a DAVID TRADING ("David Trading"); and LING RONG LAI WEN a/k/a WEN, LING RONG LAI a/k/a LAI WEN a/k/a CHRISTINE LAI a/k/a CHRISTINA LAI and SHIH-CHENG WEN a/k/a CHENG, WEN, SHIH, individually and jointly, d/b/a DAVID TRADING (collectively the "Wens") and DOES 1 – 10 (collectively "Defendants") and alleges as follows:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. This is an action pursuant to (i) 15 U.S.C. §§ 1114, 1116, 1121 and 1125(a). Accordingly, this Court has jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant 28 U.S.C. § 1391 since the named Defendants conduct business with consumers within this Judicial District.

THE PARTIES

2. Chanel is a corporation duly organized under the laws of the State of New York with its principal place of business in the United States located at Nine West 57th Street, New York, New York 10019. Chanel is, in part, engaged in the business of manufacturing and

distributing throughout the world, including within this Judicial District, high quality costume jewelry, including necklaces, earrings, rings, and bracelets under Federally registered trademarks, including but not limited to CC MONOGRAM, and CHANEL (collectively the "Chanel Marks").

- 3. The Wens are individuals who, upon information and belief, reside within this jurisdiction at 320 N.W. 72nd Avenue, Miami, Florida 33126, and conduct business within this jurisdiction at 7280 N.W. 7th Street, Unit 102, Miami, Florida 33126. David Trading is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Florida, with a principal place of business located at 7280 N.W. 7th Street, Unit 102, Miami, Florida 33126.
- 4. Upon information and belief, the Wens and David Trading is directly engaging in the sale of counterfeit and infringing products within this District as alleged herein.
- 5. Defendant Does 1-5 are, upon information and belief, individuals who reside and/or conduct substantial business within this Judicial District. Further, Does 1-5 are directly and personally contributing, inducing and engaging in the sale of counterfeit products as alleged herein as partners or suppliers to the named Defendants. Chanel is presently unaware of the true names of Does 1-5. Chanel will amend this Complaint upon discovery of the identities of such fictitious Defendants.
- 6. Defendants Does 6-10 are business entities which, upon information and belief, reside and\or conduct business within this Judicial District. Moreover, Does 6-10 are, upon information and belief, directly engaging in the sale of counterfeit products as alleged herein as partners or suppliers to the named Defendants. Chanel is presently unaware of the true names of Does 6-10. Chanel will amend this Complaint upon discovery of the identities of such fictitious Defendants.

COMMON FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

7. Chanel is the owner of the following United States Federal Trademark Registrations:

<u>Mark</u>	Reg. No.	Reg. Date
CHANEL	612,169	September 13, 1955
CHANEL	902,190	November 10, 1070
CC MONOGRAM	1,501,898	August 30, 1988
CHANEL	3,133,139	August 22, 2006

which are registered in International Class14 and are used in connection with the manufacture and distribution of, among other things, high quality costume jewelry, including, necklaces, earrings, rings and bracelets.

- 8. The Chanel Marks have been used in interstate commerce to identify and distinguish Chanel's high quality costume jewelry, including, necklaces, earrings, rings and bracelets, and other goods for an extended period of time.
- 9. The Chanel Marks have never been assigned or licensed to any of the Defendants in this matter.
- 10. The Chanel Marks are symbols of Chanel's quality, reputation and goodwill and have never been abandoned.
- 11. Further, Chanel has expended substantial time, money and other resources developing, advertising and otherwise promoting the Chanel Marks. The Chanel Marks qualify as famous marks as that term is used in 15 U.S.C. §1125(c)(1).
- 12. Chanel has extensively used, advertised and promoted the Chanel Marks in the United States in association with the sale of high quality costume jewelry, including, necklaces, earrings, rings and bracelets, and other goods and has carefully monitored and policed the use of the Chanel Marks.
 - 13. As a result of Chanel's efforts, members of the consuming public readily identify

merchandise bearing the Chanel Marks, as being high quality merchandise sponsored and approved by Chanel.

- 14. Accordingly, the Chanel Marks have achieved secondary meaning as identifiers of high quality costume jewelry, including, necklaces, earrings, rings and bracelets and other goods.
- 15. Upon information and belief, at all times relevant hereto, the Defendants in this action had full knowledge of Chanel's ownership of the Chanel Marks, including its exclusive right to use and license such intellectual property and the goodwill associated therewith.
- 16. Chanel has discovered the Defendants are promoting and otherwise advertising, distributing, selling and/or offering for sale counterfeit products, including at least costume jewelry, including, necklaces, earrings, rings and bracelets bearing trademarks which are exact copies of the Chanel Marks (the "Counterfeit Goods"). Specifically, upon information and belief, the Defendants are using the Chanel Marks in the same stylized fashion, for different quality goods.
- 17. Upon information and belief, the Defendants' Counterfeit Goods are of a quality substantially different than that of Chanel's genuine goods. Despite the nature of their Counterfeit Goods and the knowledge they are without authority to do so, the Defendants, upon information and belief, are actively using, promoting and otherwise advertising, distributing, selling and/or offering for sale substantial quantities of their Counterfeit Goods with the knowledge that such goods will be mistaken for the genuine high quality products offered for sale by Chanel. The net effect of the Defendants' actions will be to result in the confusion of consumers who will believe the Defendants' Counterfeit Goods are genuine goods originating from and approved by Chanel.
 - 18. The Defendants advertise their Counterfeit Goods for sale to the consuming

public. In so advertising these products, the Defendants use the Chanel Marks. Indeed, the Defendants herein misappropriated Chanel's advertising ideas and entire style of doing business with regard to the advertisement and sale of Chanel's genuine products. Upon information and belief, the misappropriation of Chanel's advertising ideas in the form of the Chanel Marks has occurred, in part, in the course of Defendants' advertising activities and has been the proximate cause of damage to Chanel.

- 19. Upon information and belief, the Defendants are conducting their counterfeiting and infringing activities at least within this Judicial District and elsewhere throughout the United States. As a result, the Defendants are defrauding Chanel and the consuming public for the Defendants' own benefit. Defendants' infringement and disparagement of Chanel does not simply amount to the wrong description of their goods or the failure of the goods to conform to the advertised quality or performance.
- 20. The Defendants' use of the Chanel Marks, including the promotion and advertising, reproduction, distribution, sale and offering for sale of their Counterfeit Goods, is without Chanel's consent or authorization.
- 21. Further, the Defendants are engaging in the above-described illegal counterfeiting and infringing activities knowing and intentionally or with reckless disregard or willful blindness to Chanel's rights for the purpose of trading on the goodwill and reputation of Chanel. If the Defendants' intentional counterfeiting and infringing activities are not preliminarily and permanently enjoined by this Court, Chanel and the consuming public will continue to be damaged.
- 22. The Defendants' above identified infringing activities are likely to cause confusion, deception and mistake in the minds of consumers, the public and the trade. Moreover, the Defendants' wrongful conduct is likely to create a false impression and deceive

customers, the public and the trade into believing there is a connection or association between Chanel's genuine goods and the Defendants' Counterfeit Goods.

- 23. Chanel has no adequate remedy at law.
- 24. Chanel is suffering irreparable injury and has suffered substantial damages as a result of the Defendants' counterfeiting and infringing activities.
- 25. The injuries and damages sustained by Chanel have been directly and proximately caused by the Defendants' wrongful reproduction, use, advertisement, promotion, offering to sell, and sale of their Counterfeit Goods.
- 26. Chanel has retained the undersigned counsel to represent them in this matter and is obligated to pay said counsel a reasonable fee for such representation.

COUNT I - TRADEMARK COUNTERFEITING AND INFRINGEMENT

- 27. Chanel hereby readopts and re-alleges the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 26 above.
- 28. This is an action for trademark counterfeiting and infringement against the Defendants based on their promotion, advertisement, distribution, sale and/or offering for sale of the Counterfeit Goods bearing the Chanel Marks.
- 29. Specifically, are promoting and otherwise advertising, selling, offering for sale and distributing counterfeit and infringing costume jewelry, including, necklaces, earrings, rings and bracelets bearing the Chanel Marks. The Defendants are continuously infringing and inducing others to infringe the Chanel Marks by using them to advertise, promote and sell counterfeit costume jewelry, including, necklaces, earrings, rings and bracelets.
- 30. Defendants' counterfeiting and infringing activities are likely to cause and actually are causing confusion, mistake and deception among members of the trade and the general consuming public as to the origin and quality of Defendants' Counterfeit Goods bearing

the Chanel Marks.

- 31. The Defendants' unlawful actions have caused and are continuing to cause unquantifiable damages to Chanel.
- 32. Defendants' above-described illegal actions constitute counterfeiting and infringement of the Chanel Marks in violation of Chanel's rights under § 32 of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1114.
- 33. Chanel has suffered and will continue to suffer irreparable injury due to the above described activities of the Defendants if the Defendants are not preliminarily and permanently enjoined.

COUNT II - FALSE DESIGNATION OF ORIGIN PURSUANT TO § 43(a) OF THE LANHAM ACT

- 34. Chanel hereby readopts and re-alleges the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 26 above.
- 35. The Defendants' Counterfeit Goods bearing and sold under the Chanel Marks have been widely advertised and distributed throughout the United States.
- 36. The Defendants' Counterfeit Goods bearing and sold under the Chanel Marks are virtually identical in appearance to each of Chanel's respective genuine goods. However, the Counterfeit Goods are different and likely inferior in quality. Accordingly, the Defendants' activities are likely to cause confusion in the trade and among the general public as to at least the origin or sponsorship of the Counterfeit Goods.
- 37. The Defendants, upon information and belief, have used in connection with their sale of Counterfeit Goods, false designations of origins and false descriptions and representations, including words or other symbols and trade dress which tend to falsely describe or represent such goods and have caused such goods to enter into commerce with full knowledge of the falsity of such designations of origin and such descriptions and representations, all to the

detriment of Chanel.

- 38. Specifically, the Defendants have authorized an infringing use of the Chanel Marks, in the Defendants' advertisement and promotion of their counterfeit and infringing costume jewelry, including, necklaces, earrings, rings and bracelets. The Defendants, have misrepresented to members of the consuming public that the Counterfeit Goods being advertised and sold by them are genuine, non-infringing products.
- 39. The Defendants' above-described actions are in violation of Section 43(a) of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. §1125(a).
- 40. Chanel has sustained injury and damage caused by Defendants' conduct, and absent an entry of an injunction by this Court, Chanel will continue to suffer irreparable injury to their goodwill and business reputation as well as monetary damages.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

- 41. WHEREFORE, Chanel demands judgment jointly and severally against the Defendants as follows:
- a. The Court enter a preliminary and permanent injunction enjoining Defendants, their agents, representatives, servants, employees, and all those acting in concert or participation therewith, from manufacturing or causing to be manufactured, importing, advertising or promoting, distributing, selling or offering to sell their Counterfeit Goods; from infringing, counterfeiting, or diluting the Chanel Marks; from using the Chanel Marks, or any mark or trade dress similar thereto, in connection with the sale of any unauthorized goods; from using any logo, trade name or trademark or trade dress which may be calculated to falsely advertise the services or products of the Defendants as being sponsored by, authorized by, endorsed by, or in any way associated with Chanel; from falsely representing themselves as being connected with Chanel, through sponsorship or association, or engaging in any act which is likely to falsely cause members of the trade and/or of the purchasing public to believe any

goods or services of the Defendants, or in any way endorsed by, approved by, and/or associated

with Chanel; from using any reproduction, counterfeit, copy, or colorable imitation of the

Chanel Marks in connection with the publicity, promotion, sale, or advertising of any goods sold

by the Defendants, including, without limitation, costume jewelry, including, necklaces, earrings,

rings and bracelets, and other goods; from affixing, applying, annexing or using in connection

with the sale of any goods, a false description or representation, including words or other

symbols tending to falsely describe or represent Defendants' goods as being those of Chanel, or

in any way endorsed by Chanel and from offering such goods in commerce; and from otherwise

unfairly competing with Chanel.

b. The Defendants be required to account to and pay Chanel for all profits

and damages resulting from Defendants' trademark infringing and counterfeiting activities and

that the award to Chanel be trebled, as provided for under 15 U.S.C. §1117, or, at Chanel's

election with respect to Count I, that Chanel be awarded statutory damages from each

Defendants in the amount of one million dollars (\$1,000,000.00) per each counterfeit Chanel

Mark used and product sold, as provided by 15 U.S.C. §1117(c)(2) of the Lanham Act.

Chanel be awarded punitive damages. c.

d. Chanel be awarded pre-judgment interest on its respective judgment.

Chanel be awarded its costs and reasonable attorneys' fees and e.

investigators' fees associated with bringing this action.

f. Chanel be awarded such other and further relief as the Court may deem

just and proper.

Date: August

Respectfully submitted,

STEPHEN M. GAFFIGAN, P.A.

312 S.E. 17th Street, Second Floor

Ft. Lauderdale, Florida 33316

Telephone: (954) 767-4819

Facsimile: (954) 767-4821

E-mail: Stephen@smgpa.net

9

CIVIL COVER SHEET



The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil declere sheet. (SER INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

NOTICE: Attorneys MUST Indicate All Re-filed Cases Below.

the civil docket sheet. (SEE IN.	STRUCTIONS ON THE REVE	RSE OF THE FORM.)	NOTICE: Attorneys MUS	T Indicate All Re-filed C	ases Below.	
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS		The state of the s	DEFENDANTS			
Chanel, Inc., a New York corporation		David Trading Co.	David Trading Co., Inc., et al.			
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)		SES)	County of Residence o	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)		
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Add			,	NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT LAND INVOLVED.		
Stephen M. Gaffigan/STE		I, P.A.	Attorneys (If Known)			
312 S.E. 17th Street, Secon Ft. Lauderdale, Florida 33:			Thomeys (in known)			
(d) Check County Where Actio	n Arose: 🖒 MIAMI- DADE	☐ MONROE ☐ BROW	VARD O PALM BEACH O MAI	RTIN ST. LUCIE S INDIA	AN RIVER O OKEECHOBEE HIGHLANDS	
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in	1 One Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES		
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	√□ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government N	lot a Party)	(For Diversity Cases Only) P Citizen of This State	TF DEF 1	•	
O 2 U.S. Government Defendant	Diversity (Indicate Cities are bis	of Posting in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2	=	
1:18 W 23	365-Conk	e · Bands	Citizen or Subject of a	3 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT					The second secon	
CONTRACT	PERSONAL INJURY	RTS PERSONAL INJURY	FORFEITURE/PENALTY O 610 Agriculture	BANKRUPTCY 1 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	OTHER STATUTES 400 State Reapportionment	
110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act	310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product	☐ 362 Personal Injury - Med. Malpractice	1 620 Other Food & Drug	☐ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking	
□ 140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability	☐ 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability		PROPERTY RIGHTS	☐ 450 Commerce ☐ 460 Deportation	
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment	320 Assault, Libel & Slander	☐ 368 Asbestos Persons	1	☐ 820 Copyrights	☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and	
☐ 151 Medicare Act☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	☐ 330 Federal Employers' Liability	Injury Product Liability	☐ 650 Airline Regs. ☐ 660 Occupational	☐ 830 Patent ☑ 840 Trademark	Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit	
Student Loans	340 Marine	PERSONAL PROPER	ΓΥ Safety/Health		☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV	
(Excl. Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 345 Marine Product Liability	370 Other Fraud371 Truth in Lending	G 690 Other	SOCIAL SECURITY	□ 810 Selective Service □ 850 Securities/Commodities/	
of Veteran's Benefits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle	380 Other Personal	710 Fair Labor Standards	□ 861 HIA (1395ff)	Exchange	
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits☐ 190 Other Contract	355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	Property Damage 385 Property Damage	Act 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations	☐ 862 Black Lung (923) ☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	□ 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410	
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	360 Other Personal	Product Liability	☐ 730 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting	864 SSID Title XVI	890 Other Statutory Actions	
☐ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY	Injury CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITION	& Disclosure Act S	65 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS	□ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 892 Economic Stabilization Act	
210 Land Condemnation	□ 441 Voting	☐ 510 Motions to Vacat	te 🗇 790 Other Labor Litigation	☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	3 893 Environmental Matters	
220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	☐ 442 Employment ☐ 443 Housing/	Sentence Habeas Corpus:	791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security	☐ 871 IRS—Third Party	894 Energy Allocation Act 895 Freedom of Information Act	
☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability	Accommodations 0 444 Welfare	530 General 535 Death Penalty	IMMIGRATION	26 USC 7609	900 Appeal of Fee Determination	
290 All Other Real Property	445 Amer. w/Disabilities	540 Mandamus & Otl	her Application Application		Under Equal Access to Justice	
•	Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities	□ 550 Civil Rights	463 Habeas Corpus-Alien			
	Other 440 Other Civil Rights	555 Prison Condition	Detainee 465 Other Immigration Actions		950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
∠7) 1 Original ☐ 2 R		Re-filed- (see VI below)	4 Reinstated or		Appeal to District rict	
*** ****	(ED	a) Re-filed Case	YES INO b) Rela	ted Cases ØYES DNO		
VI. RELATED/RE-FII CASE(S).	second page):	JUDGE Magistrate	•		-cv-60270-STB	
	Cite the U.S. Civil Sta	tute under which you ar	e filing and Write a Brief Stateme	ent of Cause (Do not cite juri	sdictional statutes unless	
VII. CAUSE OF ACTI	ON Trademark infrin	gement pursuant to	15 USC 1114			
	LENGTH OF TRIAL	via 5 days estimat	ed (for both sides to try entire case		4.1	
VIII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER F.R.C.P.	IS A CLASS ACTION 23	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND	if demanded in complaint: :	
ABOVE INFORMATION IS THE BEST OF MY KNOW		SIGNATURE OF AT	torney of record	DATE 8/2	20108	
		31 20 44	OR OF	FICE USE ONLY		

543998